
Advancing Sustainable Goal 9 In the Indian Context (2014–2024): Technological Progress, Manufacturing Growth, And Structural Expansion for Equitable Advancement

Dr. Nurul Huda Rahman

Faculty of Business and Management University of Malaya Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

ABSTRACT

Sustainable Development Goal 9 (SDG 9), which emphasizes industry, innovation, and infrastructure, has emerged as a critical pillar for achieving inclusive and sustainable economic growth in developing economies. In the Indian context, the decade from 2014 to 2024 represents a transformative period marked by significant policy reforms, technological advancements, and industrial expansion initiatives. This study presents a comprehensive research-based analysis of India's progress toward SDG 9, focusing on technological innovation, manufacturing sector growth, and infrastructure development as interdependent drivers of equitable advancement.

The study adopts a conceptual-analytical framework grounded in resource-based theory, sustainability paradigms, and innovation policy frameworks. By synthesizing insights from organizational theory, sustainability literature, and innovation systems, the research examines how structural transformations in India have influenced inclusive development outcomes. The analysis integrates perspectives from corporate sustainability, circular economy transitions, and innovation ecosystems to evaluate systemic progress.

Findings indicate that India has made measurable advancements in digital infrastructure, manufacturing capacity, and innovation ecosystems, driven by policy initiatives and institutional reforms. However, disparities in regional development, uneven technological diffusion, and sustainability trade-offs continue to constrain equitable outcomes. The research highlights the role of firm-level capabilities, institutional frameworks, and consumer behavior in shaping sustainable industrial transformation.

This paper contributes to the literature by offering a multidimensional assessment of SDG 9 within a developing economy framework. It bridges macroeconomic policy analysis with micro-level organizational insights, providing a holistic understanding of sustainable industrialization. The study concludes with critical reflections on policy gaps, implementation challenges, and future pathways for accelerating inclusive and sustainable development in India.

KEYWORDS

Sustainable Development Goal 9, Industrial Development, Innovation Systems, Infrastructure Growth, Inclusive Development, Circular Economy, Resource-Based View, Sustainability, India.

INTRODUCTION

Sustainable development has become a central theme in global policy discourse, particularly following the adoption of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Among these, SDG 9 focuses on building resilient infrastructure, promoting inclusive and sustainable industrialization, and fostering innovation. For a rapidly developing economy such as India, achieving SDG 9 is not merely a global obligation but a strategic necessity for long-term economic competitiveness and social equity.

The period between 2014 and 2024 represents a significant phase in India's economic trajectory. During this decade, the country has undertaken extensive reforms aimed at enhancing industrial productivity, expanding infrastructure, and fostering technological innovation. Initiatives such as digital transformation, manufacturing revitalization, and infrastructure modernization have reshaped the development landscape. However, the effectiveness of these initiatives in achieving equitable and sustainable outcomes remains a subject of critical inquiry.

From a theoretical standpoint, the resource-based view (RBV) provides a useful lens for understanding how firms and economies achieve sustained competitive advantage through unique capabilities and resources (Barney, 1991). In the context of SDG 9, technological capabilities, industrial infrastructure, and innovation systems can be conceptualized as strategic resources that drive development outcomes. Complementing this perspective, the natural-resource-based view emphasizes the importance of environmental sustainability in shaping long-term competitiveness (Hart, 1995; Hart & Dowell, 2011).

Despite significant progress, India faces persistent challenges in achieving inclusive development. Regional disparities, infrastructural bottlenecks, and uneven access to technological resources limit the equitable distribution of growth benefits. Furthermore, the environmental implications of industrial expansion raise concerns about sustainability trade-offs, highlighting the need for balanced development strategies.

The relevance of this study lies in its attempt to critically analyze India's progress toward SDG 9 through a multidimensional framework that integrates technological, industrial, and infrastructural perspectives. Unlike conventional analyses that focus on macroeconomic indicators, this research incorporates organizational and behavioral dimensions, providing a more comprehensive understanding of development dynamics.

The primary objectives of this research are to evaluate the extent of India's progress in achieving SDG 9, analyze the role of innovation and infrastructure in shaping industrial growth, and identify key challenges and opportunities for future advancement. The study also seeks to contribute to the theoretical discourse by integrating insights from sustainability, innovation policy, and organizational theory.

LLITERATURE REVIEW

The conceptual foundation of SDG 9 is deeply rooted in the intersection of industrial development, innovation systems, and infrastructure expansion. Existing literature provides diverse perspectives on how these elements contribute to sustainable and inclusive growth.

The resource-based view (RBV) has been widely used to explain competitive advantage in firms and economies. Barney (1991) argues that valuable, rare, inimitable, and non-substitutable resources are critical for sustained performance. In the context of industrial development, technological capabilities and innovation systems can be seen as strategic resources that enable long-term growth. Extending this perspective, Hart (1995) introduces the natural-resource-based view, emphasizing the role of environmental sustainability in shaping competitive advantage. Subsequent work by Hart and Dowell (2011) reinforces the importance of integrating environmental considerations into strategic decision-making.

Innovation plays a central role in SDG 9. Schot and Steinmueller (2018) identify three frameworks for innovation policy: research and development (R&D), systems of innovation, and transformative change. These frameworks highlight the need for systemic approaches to innovation that go beyond technological advancement to include institutional and societal transformations. Del Río et al. (2016) further explore the drivers of eco-innovation, emphasizing the role of regulatory frameworks, market conditions, and organizational capabilities.

Sustainability literature provides additional insights into the relationship between industrial development and environmental outcomes. Elkington (1997) introduces the triple bottom line concept, which emphasizes the integration of economic, environmental, and social dimensions. Ghisellini et al. (2016) discuss the circular economy as a model for achieving sustainable industrial systems, highlighting the importance of resource efficiency and waste reduction.

At the organizational level, corporate social responsibility (CSR) frameworks play a significant role in promoting sustainable practices. Carroll (1999) conceptualizes CSR as a multi-dimensional construct encompassing economic, legal, ethical, and philanthropic responsibilities. Dangelico and Pontrandolfo (2015) demonstrate how environmental actions and collaborations can enhance firm performance, indicating that sustainability and competitiveness are not mutually exclusive.

Methodologically, the literature emphasizes the importance of mixed-method approaches and conceptual rigor. Creswell and Plano Clark (2011) advocate for integrating qualitative and quantitative methods to capture complex phenomena, while Braun and Clarke (2006) highlight the value of thematic analysis in identifying patterns within qualitative data. Jaakkola (2020) and Eisenhardt and Graebner (2007) provide guidance on theory-building and conceptual research, emphasizing the importance of methodological clarity and analytical depth.

Consumer behavior also plays a crucial role in shaping sustainable outcomes. Thøgersen (2011) and White et al. (2019) examine the motivations behind sustainable consumption, highlighting the interplay between individual incentives and collective benefits. These insights are particularly relevant in the Indian context, where consumer behavior significantly influences industrial demand patterns.

Despite extensive research, several gaps remain. Existing studies often focus on individual components of SDG 9, such as innovation or infrastructure, without integrating them into a unified framework. Moreover, there is limited research on how these components interact to produce equitable development outcomes, particularly in emerging economies.

This study addresses these gaps by adopting a holistic approach that integrates multiple theoretical perspectives and empirical insights. It positions SDG 9 as a complex, multi-dimensional construct that requires coordinated efforts across technological, industrial, and infrastructural domains.

Conceptual Framework and Analytical Approach

1 Theoretical Integration

The proposed framework integrates three core theoretical perspectives: the resource-based view, sustainability theory, and innovation systems. The RBV provides the foundation for understanding how technological and infrastructural capabilities contribute to competitive advantage. Sustainability theory introduces environmental and social considerations, while innovation systems theory emphasizes the role of institutions and networks.

2 Dimensions of SDG 9

The study conceptualizes SDG 9 through three interconnected dimensions: technological progress,

manufacturing growth, and structural expansion. Technological progress refers to advancements in digital technologies, research capabilities, and innovation ecosystems. Manufacturing growth encompasses industrial productivity, value addition, and employment generation. Structural expansion includes infrastructure development, logistics networks, and institutional frameworks.

3 Analytical Model

The analytical model examines the interactions between these dimensions and their impact on inclusive development. Mediating variables such as institutional effectiveness and consumer behavior are incorporated to capture complex relationships (Baron & Kenny, 1986).

Technological Progress and Innovation Systems in India

Technological advancement has been a key driver of India's progress toward SDG 9. The expansion of digital infrastructure, including broadband connectivity and mobile networks, has transformed economic activities and enabled new business models. From an innovation systems perspective, the development of research institutions, startups, and technology hubs has strengthened the country's innovation ecosystem.

However, the distribution of technological benefits remains uneven. Rural areas and small enterprises often face barriers to accessing advanced technologies, limiting their participation in the digital economy. This highlights the need for inclusive innovation policies that address structural inequalities.

Manufacturing Growth and Industrial Transformation

India's manufacturing sector has undergone significant transformation, driven by policy initiatives aimed at increasing production capacity and global competitiveness. The adoption of advanced manufacturing technologies and process innovations has improved efficiency and product quality.

From a theoretical perspective, manufacturing growth can be linked to firm-level capabilities and resource utilization (Barney, 1991). Firms that invest in innovation and sustainability are better positioned to achieve long-term success. However, challenges such as skill gaps, supply chain inefficiencies, and environmental concerns continue to affect the sector.

Infrastructure Development and Structural Expansion

Infrastructure development is a critical enabler of industrial growth and technological advancement. Investments in transportation, energy, and logistics networks have improved connectivity and reduced transaction costs. These developments have facilitated regional integration and economic diversification.

Despite progress, infrastructural disparities remain a major challenge. Urban areas benefit disproportionately from investments, while rural regions often lack basic infrastructure. Addressing these disparities is essential for achieving equitable development outcomes.

Sustainability Integration and Circular Economic Transition

The pursuit of SDG 9 within the Indian context cannot be isolated from sustainability imperatives. Industrial expansion and infrastructure development, if pursued without environmental considerations, risk exacerbating ecological degradation and social inequalities. Therefore, integrating sustainability into technological and industrial strategies is essential for long-term viability.

The concept of the triple bottom line provides a foundational framework for balancing economic growth with environmental and social outcomes (Elkington, 1997). In India, this balance is particularly critical due to the scale of industrialization and the vulnerability of ecological systems. The transition toward sustainable

production requires firms to internalize environmental costs and adopt resource-efficient practices.

The circular economy model offers a transformative approach by emphasizing resource reuse, recycling, and waste minimization (Ghisellini et al., 2016). In the Indian manufacturing sector, adopting circular principles can reduce dependency on raw materials, enhance efficiency, and mitigate environmental impact. For example, industries implementing closed-loop supply chains can significantly lower production costs while improving sustainability outcomes.

From an organizational perspective, corporate sustainability initiatives are influenced by both internal capabilities and external pressures. Dangelico and Pontrandolfo (2015) demonstrate that firms engaging in environmental collaborations achieve better performance outcomes, suggesting that sustainability can be a source of competitive advantage. Similarly, Carroll's (1999) framework of corporate social responsibility highlights the importance of integrating ethical and social considerations into business strategies.

However, the transition toward sustainable industrialization faces several challenges. These include regulatory inconsistencies, lack of technological readiness, and limited awareness among stakeholders. Moreover, small and medium enterprises (SMEs), which constitute a significant portion of India's industrial base, often lack the resources to implement sustainable practices. Addressing these barriers requires coordinated efforts from policymakers, industry leaders, and civil society.

Policy Frameworks and Institutional Mechanisms

The role of policy and institutional frameworks is central to achieving SDG 9. Effective policies not only facilitate industrial growth but also ensure that development outcomes are inclusive and sustainable. In India, policy interventions during the 2014–2024 period have focused on enhancing innovation capacity, strengthening infrastructure, and promoting industrial competitiveness.

Schot and Steinmueller (2018) identify three approaches to innovation policy: R&D-focused, systems-oriented, and transformative change. The Indian policy landscape reflects elements of all three approaches. Investments in research and development have supported technological innovation, while initiatives aimed at strengthening innovation ecosystems have fostered collaboration between academia, industry, and government.

Institutional effectiveness plays a critical role in translating policy objectives into tangible outcomes. The presence of robust institutions ensures regulatory stability, efficient resource allocation, and effective implementation of development programs. However, institutional inefficiencies, such as bureaucratic delays and regulatory fragmentation, can hinder progress.

Theoretical insights from Weick (1995) emphasize the importance of sense-making in organizational and institutional contexts. Policymakers must interpret complex socio-economic dynamics and design adaptive strategies that respond to evolving challenges. Similarly, conceptual frameworks proposed by Jaakkola (2020) and LePine and Wilcox-King (2010) highlight the importance of theoretical rigor in policy formulation and evaluation.

Another critical dimension is the alignment of national policies with global sustainability goals. While India has made significant progress in aligning its policies with SDG 9, gaps remain in implementation and monitoring. Strengthening data-driven decision-making and enhancing accountability mechanisms are essential for improving policy effectiveness.

Consumer Behavior and Inclusive Development Dynamics

Inclusive development is not solely determined by macroeconomic policies and industrial strategies; it is also

influenced by consumer behavior and societal values. The demand for sustainable products and services plays a crucial role in shaping industrial practices and innovation trajectories.

Thøgersen (2011) explores the motivations behind green consumer behavior, highlighting the interplay between self-interest and collective responsibility. In the Indian context, consumer awareness of sustainability issues has increased, but behavioral change remains inconsistent. Factors such as affordability, accessibility, and cultural norms significantly influence consumption patterns.

White et al. (2019) propose the SHIFT framework for promoting sustainable consumer behavior, which includes social influence, habit formation, individual self-concept, feelings and cognition, and tangibility. Applying this framework to India reveals that social norms and economic considerations are key drivers of consumer decisions. For instance, the adoption of environmentally friendly products is often constrained by cost and availability.

The relationship between consumer behavior and industrial development is reciprocal. While consumer demand influences production patterns, industrial practices also shape consumer choices through marketing, pricing, and product design. This dynamic interaction underscores the need for integrated strategies that align consumer incentives with sustainability objectives.

From a broader perspective, inclusive development requires addressing socio-economic disparities that affect consumption patterns. Lower-income groups may prioritize affordability over sustainability, highlighting the importance of policy interventions that make sustainable products accessible and affordable.

MMETHOD

This study adopts a conceptual research design, integrating theoretical and empirical insights from the provided literature. The methodological approach is informed by mixed-method research principles, which emphasize the integration of qualitative and quantitative perspectives (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2011).

The use of thematic analysis allows for the identification of key patterns and themes across the literature (Braun & Clarke, 2006). This approach facilitates a systematic synthesis of diverse perspectives, enabling the development of a comprehensive analytical framework. Additionally, the study draws on theory-building methodologies to construct conceptual linkages between different dimensions of SDG 9 (Eisenhardt & Graebner, 2007).

Quantitative analytical techniques, such as structural equation modeling, provide a basis for understanding complex relationships between variables (Byrne, 2010; Hair et al., 2016). Although this study does not involve primary data collection, these techniques inform the conceptualization of relationships between technological progress, industrial growth, and inclusive development.

The methodological rigor is further enhanced by adherence to established research principles, including clarity of conceptual definitions, logical consistency, and analytical depth (Bryman, 2012; Hart, 1998). This ensures that the study contributes meaningfully to both theoretical and practical discourse.

RRESULTS

The analysis reveals that India has made substantial progress in advancing SDG 9 between 2014 and 2024, particularly in the domains of technological innovation, manufacturing expansion, and infrastructure development. These advancements are interrelated and collectively contribute to economic growth and

structural transformation.

Technological progress has been a significant driver of development. The expansion of digital infrastructure and innovation ecosystems has enhanced productivity and enabled new economic opportunities. This aligns with the innovation systems framework, which emphasizes the importance of institutional and technological interactions (Schot & Steinmueller, 2018). However, the benefits of technological advancement are unevenly distributed, with rural and underdeveloped regions lagging behind.

In the manufacturing sector, growth has been driven by increased investment, policy support, and technological adoption. Firms that leverage unique capabilities and resources demonstrate higher performance, supporting the resource-based view (Barney, 1991). Nevertheless, structural challenges such as skill shortages and supply chain inefficiencies continue to limit the sector's potential.

Infrastructure development has improved connectivity and facilitated economic integration. Investments in transportation and energy systems have reduced logistical barriers and enhanced industrial efficiency. Despite these improvements, disparities in infrastructure availability remain a significant concern, affecting regional development outcomes.

The integration of sustainability into industrial practices is emerging as a critical factor. Firms adopting environmentally responsible practices achieve better performance outcomes, indicating a positive relationship between sustainability and competitiveness (Dangelico & Pontrandolfo, 2015). However, the adoption of circular economy principles is still limited, particularly among smaller enterprises.

Policy frameworks play a crucial role in shaping development outcomes. While India's policy initiatives have contributed to progress, implementation challenges and institutional inefficiencies hinder their effectiveness. The alignment of policies with sustainability goals is evident, but gaps remain in execution and monitoring.

Consumer behavior also influences development dynamics. Increasing awareness of sustainability issues is encouraging the adoption of environmentally friendly products, but economic constraints limit widespread behavioral change. This highlights the need for policies that promote affordability and accessibility.

Overall, the findings indicate that while India has made significant strides in advancing SDG 9, achieving equitable and sustainable outcomes requires addressing structural disparities, enhancing institutional effectiveness, and promoting inclusive innovation.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study provide important insights into the complex dynamics of SDG 9 implementation in India. The interplay between technological progress, industrial growth, and infrastructure development highlights the multifaceted nature of sustainable development.

From a theoretical perspective, the results reinforce the relevance of the resource-based view in explaining industrial competitiveness. Firms that effectively utilize technological and organizational resources achieve superior performance, supporting the arguments of Barney (1991). At the same time, the natural-resource-based view underscores the importance of integrating environmental considerations into strategic decision-making (Hart, 1995; Hart & Dowell, 2011).

The role of innovation systems is particularly significant. The findings align with Schot and Steinmueller's (2018) framework, which emphasizes the need for systemic approaches to innovation. In India, the development of innovation ecosystems has contributed to technological advancement, but disparities in access and capability

limit their impact on inclusive development.

The study also highlights the importance of sustainability integration. The positive relationship between environmental practices and firm performance supports the arguments of Dangelico and Pontrandolfo (2015) and Carroll (1999). However, the limited adoption of circular economy principles suggests that sustainability remains a secondary consideration for many firms.

Policy effectiveness emerges as a critical factor. While India's policy initiatives have created a conducive environment for development, challenges in implementation and coordination hinder their impact. This underscores the need for stronger institutional frameworks and improved governance mechanisms.

Consumer behavior adds another layer of complexity. The findings suggest that while awareness of sustainability is increasing, economic and social factors significantly influence behavior. This aligns with the insights of Thøgersen (2011) and White et al. (2019), highlighting the need for integrated strategies that address both supply and demand dynamics.

Despite these insights, the study has limitations. The reliance on secondary data and conceptual analysis limits the ability to draw empirical conclusions. Additionally, the complexity of SDG 9 makes it challenging to isolate the impact of individual factors.

Nevertheless, the study provides valuable contributions by integrating multiple theoretical perspectives and offering a holistic analysis of SDG 9 in the Indian context. It highlights the need for coordinated efforts across technological, industrial, and institutional domains to achieve sustainable and inclusive development.

CONCLUSION

This research provides a comprehensive analysis of India's progress toward SDG 9 between 2014 and 2024, emphasizing the interconnected roles of technological innovation, manufacturing growth, and infrastructure development. The findings demonstrate that while significant advancements have been achieved, challenges related to inclusivity, sustainability, and institutional effectiveness persist.

The study contributes to the academic literature by integrating theoretical perspectives from resource-based theory, sustainability, and innovation systems. It offers a multidimensional framework for understanding the dynamics of sustainable industrialization in a developing economy.

From a practical perspective, the research highlights the importance of policy coherence, institutional strength, and stakeholder collaboration. Addressing regional disparities, promoting sustainable practices, and enhancing access to technological resources are critical for achieving equitable development outcomes.

Future research should focus on empirical analysis and data-driven evaluation of SDG 9 initiatives. Exploring the role of emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence and digital platforms, can provide additional insights into the future of sustainable development.

REFERENCES

1. Barney, J. B. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120.
2. Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator–mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations.

Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 51(6), 1173–1182.

3. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.
4. Bryman, A. (2012). *Social research methods* (4th ed.). Oxford University Press.
5. Byrne, B. M. (2010). *Structural equation modeling with AMOS: Basic concepts, applications, and programming* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
6. Carroll, A. B. (1999). Corporate social responsibility: Evolution of a definitional construct. *Business & Society*, 38(3), 268–295.
7. Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2011). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.
8. Dangelico, R. M., & Pontrandolfo, P. (2015). Being 'green and competitive': The impact of environmental actions and collaborations on firm performance. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 24(6), 413–430.
9. Del Río, P., Peñasco, C., & Romero-Jordán, D. (2016). What drives eco-innovators? A critical review of the empirical literature based on econometric methods. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 112, 2158–2170.
10. Eisenhardt, K. M., & Graebner, M. E. (2007). Theory building from cases: Opportunities and challenges. *Academy of Management Journal*, 50(1), 25–32.
11. Elkington, J. (1997). *Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st century business*. Capstone Publishing.
12. Ghisellini, P., Cialani, C., & Ulgiati, S. (2016). A review on circular economy: The expected transition to a balanced interplay of environmental and economic systems. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 114, 11–32.
13. Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C., & Sarstedt, M. (2016). *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.
14. Hart, C. (1998). *Doing a literature review: Releasing the social science research imagination*. SAGE Publications.
15. Hart, S. L. (1995). A natural-resource-based view of the firm. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(4), 986–1014.
16. Hart, S. L., & Dowell, G. (2011). A natural-resource-based view of the firm: Fifteen years after. *Journal of Management*, 37(5), 1464–1479.
17. Jaakkola, E. (2020). Designing conceptual articles: Four approaches. *Academy of*

Management Review, 45(1), 18–22.

18. LePine, J. A., & Wilcox-King, A. (2010). Developing novel theoretical insight from reviews of existing theory and research. *Academy of Management Review*, 35(3), 506–509.

19. Lozano, R. (2020). Analysing the use of tools, initiatives, and approaches to promote sustainability in corporations. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 27(2), 614–635.

20. Schot, J., & Steinmueller, W. E. (2018). Three frames for innovation policy: R&D, systems of innovation and transformative change. *Research Policy*, 47(9), 1554–1567.

21. Thøgersen, J. (2011). Green shopping: For selfish reasons or the common good? *American Behavioral Scientist*, 55(8), 1052–1076.

22. Weick, K. E. (1995). What theory is not, theorizing is. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 40(3), 385–390.

23. White, K., Habib, R., & Hardisty, D. J. (2019). How to SHIFT consumer behaviors to be more sustainable: A literature review and guiding framework. *Journal of Marketing*, 83(3), 22–49.